# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,524	02/17/2004	Eddy Reynolds	200313753-1	2441
	7590 12/26/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
	00, 3404 E. HARMON	HASSAN, AURANGZEB		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2182	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVÉRY MODE	
3 MO1	NTHS	12/26/2006	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/781,524	REYNOLDS, EDDY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aurangzeb Hassan	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
• •	DIVIQUET TO EVOIDE 3 M/	ONITU(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAYS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 September 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		• .				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12 and 18-20</u> is/are pending ir	the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ol>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	·	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	_	)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<del></del> -				

Application/Control Number: 10/781,524

Art Unit: 2182

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant canceling claims 13 – 16 and 22 in response to the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejections from the first Office Action. However the Applicant has failed to overcome the rejection with regards to claims 18 – 20.

The computer readable medium as defined in the applicant's specification in paragraph 28 is evidence that the applicant intends the computer readable medium to cover signals. Furthermore in the claims 18 – 20 the term "system" is not accurately described since it seems that the system is comprised of logic, which would be better termed as a program/software.

3. Claims 18 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks practical application. The claims recite a computer readable medium that stores a system comprised of logic and lack the recitation to permit the functionality to be realized. Examiner suggests rephrasing the claims to recite, "computer **storage** medium storing a program having **functional** logic **executed**, wherein the logic is configured to". The emphasis in the suggestion is primarily focused to storage, functional and executed to overcome a 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.

Art Unit: 2182

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 7 9, 12, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mackiewicz et al (US Patent Number 4,713,756 hereinafter "Mackiewicz").
- 6. As per claim 1, Mackiewicz teaches a method practiced by a computer for signaling to a user a write status of an external storage device connected to the computer, the system comprising: detecting transfer of data from the computer (processor acknowledges LOAD/STORE data signals on port, column 2, lines 64 67) to the external storage device (non-volatile memory device 100, figure 1, connected via I/O port to which memory device connected, column 2, lines 62 64, attached via external interface 40, figure 1); activating a write-in-progress indicator (Busy signal LED 136, figure 1) that signals that writing has not been completed by the external storage device (BUSY indication, column 5, lines 12 17);

the computer receiving from the external storage device an indication (input port receives external signals, column 2, lines 64 – 67) that the external storage device has

Art Unit: 2182

completed writing to a non-volatile memory of the external storage device (the external device sends a signal of LOAD and STORE and when data transfer is complete the LOAD signal is transmitted as a null/off/zero to the input port which is received in and forwarded to the flip-flop used to control the LED/BUSY signal in element 226 of figure 2B, column 3, lines 1 – 45 teach the signal utilization); and responsive to the received indication, the computer deactivating the write-in-progress indicator (BUSY signal turns off in response to a null/off/zero LOAD signal received as seen by Q output, column 6, lines 42 – 46) to convey to the user that it is safe to disconnect the external storage device from the computer (enable and disable of BUSY indicator and LED, column 3, lines 3 – 16 and lines 26 – 32).

The Examiner notes that not only does the BUSY signal convey to a user whether an external storage device is safe to disconnect, Mackiewicz further teaches a tampering indicator which is an enhancement above the current application as seen in column 3, lines 46 - 67 and column 4, lines 1 - 9.

7. As per claim 2, Mackiewicz teaches a method wherein detecting transfer of data comprises detecting transfer of data (processor acknowledges LOAD/STORE data signals on port, column 2, lines 64 – 67) to an external storage device (non-volatile memory device 100, figure 1) directly plugged into an input/output port of the computer (I/O port to which memory device connected, column 2, lines 62 – 64, attached via external interface 40, figure 1).

Art Unit: 2182

8. As per claims 7 and 8 Mackiewicz discloses a method wherein activating a write-in-progress indicator comprises activating a light-emitting diode associated with the input/output port (BUSY indication signal represented by the light emitting diode 136 figure 1, column 5, lines 12 – 16)

- 9. As per claims 9 and 20, Mackiewicz teaches a method and computer-readable medium wherein activating a write-in-progress indicator comprises issuing an advanced configuration power interface command to a switch that controls the indicator (CLK and D signal to switch, element 226, figure 2b).
- 10. As per claim 12, Mackiewicz teaches a method wherein the computer receives the indication from the external storage device (input port receives external signals, column 2, lines 64 67) in response to the computer sending a command requesting confirmation (in response to STORE/LOAD command request initializes busy signal, column 3, lines 9 16) when writing is completed or a query requesting an indication as to whether writing is completed (indication of completion is represented in enabling of ports and release of busy signal LED, column 3, lines 9 16).
- 11. As per claim 18, Mackiewicz teaches a computer-readable medium that stores a system, the system comprising: logic configured to activate a write-in-progress indicator (processor acknowledges LOAD/STORE data signals on port, column 2, lines 64 67

Art Unit: 2182

and activates BUSY indicator, column 3, lines 3 - 6) when data is transferred to an external storage device (non-volatile memory device 100, figure 1) that is plugged into an input/output port associated with a computer (I/O port to which memory device connected, column 2, lines 62 - 64, attached via external interface 40, figure 1), the indicator (LED 136, figure 1) signaling that writing has not been completed by the external storage device (BUSY indication, column 5, lines 12 – 17); logic configured to request indication from the external storage device as to when it has completed writing data to non-volatile memory of the external storage device (external storage connected via input port is responsive to the processor of the PC's requests, column 3, lines 6 – 10); logic configured to receive from the external storage device an indication (input port receives external signals, column 2, lines 64 – 67) that the external storage device has completed writing to the non-volatile memory of the external storage device (BUSY signal turns off in response to a null/off/zero LOAD signal received as seen by Q output, column 6, lines 42 – 46); and logic configured to deactivate the write-in-progress indicator when the indication has been received (enable and disable of BUSY indicator and LED, column 3, lines 3 - 16 and lines 26 - 32).

The Examiner notes that not only does the BUSY signal convey to a user whether an external storage device is safe to disconnect, Mackiewicz further teaches a tampering indicator which is an enhancement above the current application as seen in column 3, lines 46 - 67 and column 4, lines 1 - 9.

Art Unit: 2182

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 3 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackiewicz in view of Huang (US Publication Number 2002/0171999).
- 14. As per claims 3 and 5, Mackiewicz teaches a method wherein detecting transfer of data comprises detecting transfer of data to an external storage device directly plugged into an input/output port (I/O port to which memory device connected, column 2, lines 62 64, attached via external interface 40, figure 1).

Mackiewicz does not disclose the geographical location of how the memory is attached to the computer.

Huang teaches a method wherein an input/output port of a connector hub is provided in a front panel of the computer (figure 2 is a built in hub accessible on the front panel of a computer, paragraph [0014] with input/output ports elements 221 – 223).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Mackiewicz with the above teachings of Huang. One

Art Unit: 2182

would be motivated to make such modifications in order to maximize portability of memory in a computer environment (paragraph [0006]).

15. As per claims 4 and 6 Mackiewicz teaches activating a write-in-progress indicator light (LED 136, figure 1).

Mackiewicz does not disclose the geographical placement of the light-emitting diode on a hub.

Huang discloses a light-emitting diode adjacent (next to) the input/output port of a connector hub on the computer (LED indicator adjacent to element 222, figure 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Mackiewicz's light-emitting diode to be represented by the positioned light-emitting diode indicator taught in Huang, as it is simply a placement of parts. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to make such modification in order to have an accurate interface for the user.

16. Mackiewicz modified by the teachings of Huang as applied in claim 4 above, as per claim 19, Huang teaches a computer-readable medium wherein the logic configured to activate a write-in-progress indicator comprises logic configured to activate an indicator adjacent the input/output port (LED indicator adjacent to element 222, figure 2).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/781,524

Art Unit: 2182

17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 7 - 14, 18, and 20 - 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

18. As per the applicant's arguments pertaining to the rejection of claim 1 in regards to Mackiewicz's not supporting the newly amended claim limitations, the examiner has provided additional citations with further explanations to elaborate on the current rejection in order for the applicant to better understand Mackiewicz. Mackiewicz provides signals sent from the external storage device to the PC in a LOAD, STORE combination to initiate the write indicator. The "BUSY" signal is a resultant indication based on the external signal as shown above. Applicant is directed to the rejection in claim 1.

#### Conclusion

19. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2182

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aurangzeb Hassan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Huynh can be reached on (571) 272-4147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AΗ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12/21/06